## DISTANCE MODULUS OF THE DWARF GALAXY IC10

Evgeni Ovcharo, Petko Nedialkov

Department of Astronomy, Sofia University, 5 J. Bourchier Blvd.,

## Abstract.

We used three new metallicity dependent distance indicators based on the *K*-luminosity function of red supergiants in the field of the dwarf galaxy *IC10* to derive the distance modulus and the total extinction along that sightline. The obtained values:  $(m-M)_0 = (24.3 \pm 0.3)^m$  and  $A_K = (0.46 \pm 0.05)^m$  are in a good agreement with the recent results based on the *TRGB* method.

The irregular dwarf galaxy IC10 (RA(J2000) =  $00^{h} 20^{m} 23.16^{s}$ ; DEC(J2000) = +59° 17' 34.7") is a member of the Local group, laying close to the Galactic equator (b =  $-3.3^{\circ}$ ). The high and uncertain value of the foreground extinction combined with the peculiarities of IC10 itself (a widespread burst of star formation and a significant amount of varying internal extinction) lead to contradictive results when the distance modulus has been considered. The values of the obtained (m-M)<sub>0</sub> fall in the range of (23.86 ± 0.12)<sup>m</sup> as derived in [1] and (24.95 ± 0.20)<sup>m</sup> – in [2].

In this work we used three new distance indicators based on the luminosity function in K-passband for red supergiants (RSGs) in order to obtain a distance to IC10, taking for the first time into account the metallicity effect.

Our study is based on data provided by Two Micron All Sky Survey (2MASS - http://ftp.ipac.caltech.edu/pub/2mass/allsky/) as J&K magnitudes of ~ 2000 point-like sources (stars) in a target field, centered on IC10 and covering total area of 360 square arcmin. For the metallicity we adopted values [O/H]+12 = 8.25 of [3] and [Fe/H] = -0.5 of [4], corresponding to 0.006 in terms of metallicity Z. We also assumed a standard extinction law ( $R_V = 3.1$ ) along all sightlines toward RSGs in IC10.

We used theoretical evolutionary tracks for the most massive in the **RSGs** phase on the **CMD**  $M_K$  vs.  $(J-K)_0$  with varying metallicity Z = 0.004, 0.016, 0.028 to obtain an universal liner fit relating the luminosity and the colour (Fig. 1). The adopted linear fit allows selection of the **RSGs** branch on the **CMD**, taking into account the errors of photometry. Its slope was found to be quite steep:  $-14.7 \pm 1.1$  and not very sensitive to the metallicity

Initially, we divided the stars samples in the target field of **IC10** into two sub-samples, covering equal area inside and outside the galaxy, respectively. The next step was to construct the **CMDs** (Fig. 2) for the inner (upper left panel) and the outer field (upper right panel). The **RSGs**' branch is identified via numerical subtraction (applying an algorithm, removing the closest neighbouring points on the **CMDs** for the inner and outer field), resulting in a new **CMD**, shown in lower left panel of Fig. 2. The adopted line for metallicity **Z** = **0.006** (corresponding to mean true colour (**J**–**K**)<sub>0</sub> = **0.85**<sup>m</sup>) is slided along reddening vector in order to fit the cloud of **RSGs**. This allowed us to estimate the mean total (foreground + internal) extinction of the **RSGs**:  $A_K =$ (**0.46± 0.05**)<sup>m</sup>. Finally, we constructed (see, the lower right panel of Fig. 2,) the apparent **K**-luminosity function found to be complete for the first four bins. Thus, we applied a completeness correction for the fifth bin in order to use the distance indicators, calibrated for the brightest 5 half magnitude bins.



Fig. 1: Theoretical evolutionary tracks for massive stars in **RSGs**' phase on **CMD** diagram  $M_K$  vs.  $(J-K)_0$ . The size of the symbols is proportional to the

stellar mass in the range  $7\div30$  Solar masses. The metallicity Z varies between 0.004 and 0.028. Thin dashed line represents the linear fit with a slope of -14.7.

Parameter	Value	Reference
(m – M) <sub>0</sub> [mag]	$24.95\pm0.20$	[2]
	$23.86\pm0.12$	[1]
	$24.10\pm0.19$	[7]
	$24.59\pm0.30$	[6]
	$24.57{\pm}0.21$	[9]
	$24.90\pm0.10$	[3]
	$24.30\pm0.30$	this work
A <sub>K</sub> [mag]	0.35 (total)	[1]
	0.52	[8]
	0.40 (total)	[7]
	0.27 (total)	[3]
	0.30	[10]
	0.46 (total)	this work

Table 1. Distance modulus of **IC10** galaxy and mean extinction toward it as derived by different authors

We used the selected **RSGs**, ordered by increasing apparent Kmagnitude, and the constructed K-luminosity function, to determine three parameters, namely K(0.12) – the apparent magnitude of a real **RSG** with a consecutive number N, defined as N/N<sub>tot</sub> = 0.12, where N<sub>tot</sub> is the total number of **RSGs** in the first five half magnitude bins, K(12%) and K(21%) - apparent magnitudes, corresponding to the value of the linear fit "log(N) – K" defined as N/N<sub>tot</sub> = 12% and 21%. The values of K(0.12), K(12%) and K(21%) are related to the distance indicators  $M_K(0.12)$ ,  $M_K(12\%)$  and  $M_K(21\%)$ , calibrated by [5] as a function of metallicity and easily obtained via substitution with the adopted values of [O/H]+12 and [Fe/H]. Finally, the distance modulus is estimated are via the equation:  $(m - M)_0 = K - A_K - M_K$ . The obtained values are  $(23.84 \pm 0.26)^{\text{m}}$ ,  $(24.18 \pm 0.29)^{\text{m}}$  and  $(24.44 \pm 0.29)^{\text{m}}$ , respectively. They are consistent at  $2\sigma$  level, but only the last two are really luminosity function based on. Their mean  $(24.3 \pm 0.3)^{\text{m}}$ , together with other results, is given in Tab. 1.

Their own **IR**-photometry was used by [1] to select **RSGs** and to derive a distance modulus of  $(23.86 \pm 0.12)^{\text{m}}$ . However, they did not take into account the difference of 0.4 in metallicity between **IC10** and **IC1613**. Our result is in best agreement with  $(\text{m-M})_0$  of [7]:  $(24.10 \pm 0.19)^{\text{m}}$ ,

Fig. 2: Infrared **CMDs** and luminosity function in the field of **IC10** galaxy: upper panels - **CMD** for the inner field (left) and outer field (right); lower left panel – the difference "inner field **CMD** -outer field **CMD**"; lower right panel – the apparent **K**-luminosity function of **RSGs**.



which is somehow expected, because all distance indicators are based on distances, calibrated by the Tip of the Red Giant Branch (**TRGB**) method.

## Acknowledgments

This publication makes use of data products from the Two Micron All Sky Survey, which is a joint project of the University of Massachusetts and the Infrared Processing and Analysis Center/California Institute of Technology, funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the National Science Foundation.

Our work is supported by the grant F-1302/2003 with the Bulgarian NSF.

## References

[1] Borissova J., L; Georgiev, M. Rosado, R. Kurtev, A. Bullejos, M. Valdez - Gutierrez, Infrared photometry of the Local Group dwarf irregular galaxy IC10, A&A, 363, 2000, 130 - 140

[2] Hunter D., The Stellar Population and Star Clusters in the Unusual Local Group Galaxy IC10, ApJ, 559, 2001, 225 - 242

[3] Massey P., T. Armandroff, The Massive Star Content, Reddening, and Distance of the Nearby Irregular Galaxy IC10, AJ, 109, 1995, 2470 - 2479

[4] Oestreicher M., Th. Schmidt-Kaler, Red supergiants in the LMC - II. Spectrophotometry and model atmospheres, MNRAS, 299, 1998, 625 - 636

[5] OvcharovE., P. Nedialkov, New distance indicators based on the IR

luminosity function of red supergiants, Meetings in Physics, 5, 2004, (in press)

[6] Saha A., J. Hoessel, J Krist, E. Danielson, Variable Stars in the Dwarf Galaxy IC10, AJ, 111, 1996, 197 - 207

[7] Sakai S., B. Madore, W. Freedman, Cepheid and Tip of the Red Giant Branch Distances to the Dwarf Irregular Galaxy IC10, ApJ, 511, 1999, 671 - 679

[8] Schlegel D., D. Finkbeiner, M. Davis, Maps of Dust

Infrared Emission for Use in Estimation of Reddening and Cosmic

Microwave Background Radiation Foregrounds, ApJ, 500, 1998, 525 - 553

[9] Wilson C., D. Welch, I. Reid, A. Saha, J. Hoessel, The Distance To IC10 From Near - Infrared Observations of Cepheids, AJ, 111, 1996, 1106 - 1109

[10] H y p e r L e d a, http://www-obs.univ-lyon1.fr/hypercat